

FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF COMPLEMENTS OF BRANCH CURVES AS SOLVABLE GROUPS

BORIS MOISHEZON AND MINA TEICHER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that fundamental groups of complements of curves are “small” in the sense that they are “almost solvable”. Thus we can start to compute π_2 as a module over π_1 in order to produce new invariants of surfaces that might distinguish different components of a moduli space.

0. Applications of the calculations of fundamental groups to algebraic surfaces.

Our study of fundamental groups of branch curves is aimed towards understanding algebraic surfaces.

Algebraic surfaces are classified by discrete and continuous invariants. Fixing the discrete invariants (of homotopy type), one gets a family of algebraic surfaces parametrized by an algebraic variety which is called the moduli space (the word “moduli” stands for “continuous invariants”). Very little is known about the structure of moduli space of surfaces of general type.

We study algebraic surfaces in order to understand the structure of their moduli spaces. We intend to construct new invariants that will distinguish between different connected components of moduli space. We study invariants that come from pluricanonical embeddings, the corresponding generic projections to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and branch curves. More specifically, we investigate the fundamental groups of the complements in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of those branch curves.

Let V be an algebraic surface of general type pluricanonically embedded in $\mathbb{CP}^N$, $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a generic projection, $S_V(\subset \mathbb{CP}^2)$ be the corresponding branch curve. The topological invariants of $\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V$ do not change when the complex structure of V is changes continuously (for more details see the introduction of [MoTe6]). Thus, one can use such invariants to distinguish the connected components of the corresponding moduli space.

Artin’s braid groups B_n are our most important tool. In recent years these groups are becoming more and more popular in different branches of mathematics, such as the theory of Jones polynomials (which is a current interest of theoretical physicists). Our former study shows that some nontrivial properties of braid groups are intimately connected with the existence of nontrivial geometrical objects of complex geometry and algebraic surfaces. Thus, our study of algebraic surfaces and their branch curves gives new insight in the field of braid groups and vice versa.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J10, 20F36.

Key words and phrases. Fundamental groups, branch curves, Veronese surfaces.

The fact that algebraic surfaces are nontrivial geometric objects was remarkably confirmed by S. Donaldson who showed that among algebraic surfaces one can find homeomorphic non-diffeomorphic (simply-connected) 4-manifolds. In particular, he produced the first counterexamples to the h-cobordism conjecture in dimension four. Donaldson's theory was also used to construct the first examples of homeomorphic non-diffeomorphic (simply-connected) algebraic surfaces of general type ([FMoM], [Mo4]).

We expect that the connected components of moduli spaces of algebraic surfaces (of general type) correspond to the principal diffeomorphism classes of corresponding topological 4-manifolds. Thus, it is possible that Donaldson's polynomial invariants will distinguish these connected components. However, the definition of Donaldson's invariants seems to be too "transcendental" for direct computation. We believe that a more direct geometrical approach must be applied.

An algebraic surface can be considered as a "Riemann surface" of an algebraic function of two variables, that is, as a finite ramified covering of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. For classification problems we can restrict ourselves to the so-called stable case, that is, to the case when the corresponding branch curve S in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is cuspidal (having only nodes and cusps as singularities). In such an approach, the most important thing is to study the topology of the complement $\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V$, in particular $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ and $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V)$ as π_1 -module, etc.

The first results on such $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ were obtained by O. Zariski in the thirties. Let X_n be a non-singular hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ of deg n , X_{ab} be a projective embedding of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ corresponding to $a\ell_1 + b\ell_2$, where a, b are positive integers ($\ell_1 = pt \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \ell_2 = pt \times \mathbb{CP}^1$). Let S_n (resp. S_{ab}) be the branch curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ corresponding to a generic projection of X_n (resp. X_{ab}) to $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Zariski proved that $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_3, *) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 * \mathbb{Z}/3$, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_{1b}) \cong$ braid group of S^2 with $2b$ strings. In 1981 B. Moishezon generalized Zariski's result to X_n , proving that $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_n, *) \cong B_n/\text{center}$.

These results were almost the only ones known about $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V)$'s (in the stable case) till the middle of the eighties. They gave the impression that these groups are very big (in particular, contain large free groups). Such an impression was partly responsible for the general belief in the following conjecture: The Galois coverings corresponding to generic projections of algebraic surfaces to $\mathbb{CP}^2$ have (as a rule) infinite fundamental groups. This was a partial case of Bogomolov's conjecture which stated that algebraic surfaces of general type with positive index have infinite fundamental groups. This is equivalent to the following: for any simply-connected algebraic surface of general type the Chern numbers satisfy the inequality $C_1^2 < 2C_2$.

In 1984 we disproved this conjecture ([MoTe1]), showing that Galois coverings $\tilde{X}_{ab}$ corresponding to $X_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ (generic projection) have finite fundamental groups. One can check that $\pi_1(\tilde{X}_{ab}) \cong \ker(\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_{ab})/\langle \Gamma^2 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\psi} S_k$ ($\langle \Gamma^2 \rangle$ denotes the normal subgroup generated by squares of "geometric generators"), ψ the standard monodromy homomorphism to the symmetric group S_k , $k = \deg X_{ab}$). We proved that $\ker \psi$ is a finite abelian group. In 1985 we computed $\ker \Psi$ explicitly as an S_k -module. These results gave the first sign that, in general, the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ are not as big and complicated as the earlier theorems of Zariski et al. (see above) indicated.

With all the above in mind, one can start to attack the general problem of explic-

itly computing $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ for many classes of algebraic surfaces. Our belief is that in the case of simply-connected surfaces, the kernels

$\ker(\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{symmetric group } (\Psi \text{ the standard monodromy homomorphism}))$ are very often solvable groups.

We start by considering here the branch curve of a Veronese surface. Completing the computation of π_1 will allow us to start the investigation of $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V)$ (as π_1 -module) which is our next objective.

For V be a projective algebraic surface, S_V the branch curve corresponding to a generic projection of V to $\mathbb{CP}^2$. S_V is a cuspidal curve. Our method of studying $\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V$ is based on the explicit formulas for braid monodromy. In our papers [MoTe5], [MoTe6] we developed algorithms and methods to obtain such formulas for many interesting geometrical cases. These formulas contain nontrivial information which connects some properties of braid groups to the existence of cuspidal branch curves and the corresponding algebraic surfaces. The classical Van Kampen theorem gives an algorithm for deducing a finite presentation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ from the explicit knowledge of the braid monodromy. At first glance, this presentation looks hopeless. We manage to work with such presentations, since we have discovered certain symmetry properties in the braid monodromies (we call them “invariance properties”). These properties sharply distinguish our subject from its real analog – classical knot theory, where finite presentations of a knot group usually cannot be simplified.

We shall also use certain new quotients of braid groups and related algebraic objects described in [MoTe9] which are important ingredients in the final description of fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S_V, *)$, as in [MoTe9] and of $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V, *)$ in this paper. To get the results in [MoTe9] we also used degenerations of V_n into a union of simple rational surfaces which give degenerations of the corresponding branch curves into configurations of simple curves (lines and conics), (see [MoTe7]). Such degenerations make it possible to move from the local analysis of singularities to the global analysis, which is the most difficult part of the subject, and to compute the braid monodromy related to S . Using the braid monodromy, we computed $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S_V, *)$ in [MoTe9].

Our conjecture is that for many classes of simply-connected algebraic surfaces the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V)$ will be extensions of symmetric groups by solvable groups. At the present stage of our knowledge it is difficult to predict the precise answers. One possibility is that certain general theorems will be proved about the structure of the solvable groups in question. Our knowledge of $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_V)$'s is practically non-existent at the present time. That means that it is impossible to even formulate conjectures about them before our computations are completed. Still it is possible that certain general rules define their structure (as π_1 -modules). The possibilities described above, if realized, will demand a study of branch curves of more complicated algebraic surfaces, for example, the non-simply-connected ones. It is known that many abstract groups (in particular, all finite ones) could be fundamental groups of algebraic surfaces.

This paper follows our series of papers, Braid Group Techniques, I – V (referred to also as BGTI – BGTV, [MoTe5], [MoTe6], [MoTe7], [MoTe8], [MoTe9]). In BGTI we gave an algorithm to compute the braid monodromy of different line arrangements in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In BGTV we considered nodal curves and cuspidal branch curves. In BGTIII we started to treat the Veronese surface separately. We constructed

special degenerations of the Veronese surface to the union of planes with which we computed in BGTIV the braid monodromy of the branch curve of a generic projection of the Veronese surface to $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Using the results in BGTIV we computed in BGTIV the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S_V)$.

In Section 1 of this paper we discuss the Van Kampen Theorem which relates the fundamental group of the complement of a curve to the braid monodromy of the curve. In Section 2 we prove our main result: a solvability type theorem on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S_V)$, and in Section 3 we present the projective version of the affine results.

Theorem 2.4 below states that $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S, *)$ is “almost” a solvable group in the sense that it has a solvable subgroup of finite index. Such groups are “small enough” so that the computation of π_2 as a model over π_1 makes sense.

1. The Braid Group and the Van Kampen Theorem.

Let S be a cuspidal curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$, $p = \deg S$, $\mathbb{C}_u = \{(u, y)\}$.

The group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - N, u)$ is a free group.

There exists an epimorphism $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$, so a set of generators for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0)$ determines a set of generators for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$.

There is a classical theorem of Van Kampen from the 30’s that all relations in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ come from the braid group B_p via the braid monodromy φ_u of S . We shall formulate the theorem precisely in 1.2.

We start with the definition of braid group and a half-twist.

Definition. Braid group $B_n[D, K]$: Let D be a closed disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$, $K \subset D$, K finite. Let B be the group of all diffeomorphisms β of D such that $\beta(K) = K$, $\beta|_{\partial D} = \text{Id}_{\partial D}$. For $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in B$, we say that β_1 is equivalent to β_2 if β_1 and β_2 induce the same automorphism of $\pi_1(D - K, u)$. The quotient of B by this equivalence relation is called the braid group $B_n[D, K]$ ($n = \#K$). We sometimes denote by $\bar{\beta}$ the braid represented by β . The elements of $B_n[D, K]$ are called braids.

Definition. $H(\sigma)$, half-twist defined by σ : Let D, K be as above. Let $a, b \in K$, $K_{a,b} = K - a - b$ and σ be a simple path in $D - \partial D$ connecting a with b s.t. $\sigma \cap K = \{a, b\}$. Choose a small regular neighborhood U of σ and an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$ ($\mathbb{C}^1$ is taken with the usual “complex” orientation) such that $f(\sigma) = [-1, 1]$, $f(U) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^1 \mid |z| < 2\}$. Let $\alpha(r), r \geq 0$, be a real smooth monotone function such that $\alpha(r) = 1$ for $r \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]$ and $\alpha(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 2$. Let $H(re^{i\theta}) = re^{i(\theta + \alpha(r))}$, and let $H(\sigma) : D \rightarrow D$ be defined by fHf^{-1} .

The following lemma is a technical lemma to be used in the simplified Van Kampen theorem.

Lemma 1.1. *Let V be a half-twist in $B_p[D, K]$, $u_0 \notin K$. Then there exists $A_V, B_V \in \pi_1(D - K, u_0)$ s.t. A_V, B_V can be extended to a g -base of $\pi_1(D - K, u_0)$ and $(A_V)V = B_V$.*

Proof. [MoTe10], XIII.1.1 of [MoTe5]. $\square$

We use the existence of A_V, B_V in the formulation of the Van Kampen theorem.

In [MoTe10] and [MoTe5] we also introduced an algorithm for expressing A_V, B_V in terms of $\{\Gamma_j\}$.

To formulate the Van Kampen Theorem we consider the following situations and use the following notations:

Let S be a cuspidal curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, $p = \deg S$. Let L be a line at infinity,

$$\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{CP}^2 - L.$$

Choose coordinates x, y on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

$\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ projection on the first coordinate, x -coordinate, $\mathbb{C}_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$.

$$K(x) = \pi^{-1}(x) \cap S \quad (\#K(x) \leq p).$$

$$N = \{x | \#K(x) \leq p\} = \{c_i\}.$$

Let us choose $u \in \mathbb{C}$, u real s.t. $x \ll u \quad \forall x \in N$.

Let $B_p = B[\mathbb{C}_u, \mathbb{C}_u \cap S]$.

Let $\varphi_u : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} - N, u) \rightarrow B_p$ the braid monodromy of S with respect to π, u .

The group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0)$ is a free group.

$$M' = \{x \in S \mid \pi|_S \text{ is not etale at } x\} \quad (\pi(M') = N).$$

Assume $\#\mathbb{C}_x \cap M' = 1 \quad \forall x \in N$. For $x \in N$, let $x' = \mathbb{C}_x \cap M'$, The point x' is either a branch point, a node, or a cusp.

Let $\{\delta_i\}$ be a free geometric base (g -base) for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - N, u)$.

By Theorem VI.3.3 of [MoTe10] (see also [MoTe9]), for every δ_i there exist V_i and ν_i where V_i is a half-twist and ν_i is a number s.t. $\varphi_u(\delta_i) = V_i^{\nu_i}$. Moreover, $\nu_i = 1, 2, 3$ if c'_i is a branch point, node or a cusp, respectively.

Let $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}_u, u_0 \notin S$, u_0 below real lines far enough s.t. B_p does not move u_0 .

$$[A, B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1}.$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle = ABAB^{-1}A^{-1}B^{-1}.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Van Kampen Theorem).

Let S be cuspidal curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let $u, u_0, \varphi_u, A_V, B_V$ be as above:

Let $\{\delta_i\}$ be a g -base of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - N, u)$.

Let $\varphi_u(\delta_i) = V_i^{(\nu_i)}$ V_i a half-twist, $\nu_i = 1, 2, 3$ (as above).

Let $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^p$ be a g -base for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0)$. Then $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ is generated by the images of Γ_j in π_1 and we get a complete set of relations from those induced from $\varphi_u(\delta_i) = V_i^{\nu_i}$, as follows: $A_{V_i} = B_{V_i}$ when $\nu_i = 1$, $[A_{V_i}, B_{V_i}] = 1$ when $\nu_i = 2$, $\langle A_{V_i}, B_{V_i} \rangle = 1$ when $\nu_i = 3$, and A_{V_i}, B_{V_i} are expressed in terms of $\{\Gamma_j\}$.

Proof. (See [VK1], [Z1]).

We shall also quote here an equivalent form of this theorem.

Let z_{12} be the “shortest” path connecting q_1 and q_2 . Let $Z = H(z_{12})$. Since every half-twist of B_p is conjugate to Z (see Proposition VI.4.4, [MoTe10] or [MoTe5].), we get $\rho_u(\delta_i) = Q_i^{-1} Z^{\nu_i} Q_i$ for some $Q_i \in B_p$.

Theorem 1.3. (The original version of the Van Kampen Theorem).

Let $S, u, u_0, \{\delta_i\}, Q_i$ be as above.

Let $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^p$ be a g -system of generators for $F = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0)$. Then $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ is finitely presented by the images of Γ_j in π_1 and the relations which are images of: $Q_i(\Gamma_1) = Q_i(\Gamma_2)$ when $\nu_i = 1$, $Q_i(\Gamma_1)Q_i(\Gamma_2) = Q_i(\Gamma_2)Q_i(\Gamma_1)$ when $\nu_i = 2$, and $Q_i(\Gamma_1)Q_i(\Gamma_2)Q_i(\Gamma_1) = Q_i(\Gamma_2)Q_i(\Gamma_1)Q_i(\Gamma_2)$ when $\nu_i = 3$, for each c_i in N .

It is easy to see that $((\Gamma_1)H(z_{12}) = \Gamma_2$ (thus for $Z = H(z_{12})$, we have $\Gamma_1 = A_Z$, $\Gamma_2 = B_Z$). Therefore, $\forall i$ $(\Gamma_1)Q_i \cdot Q_i^{-1} \cdot ZQ_i = \Gamma_2Q_i$. Thus $((\Gamma_1)Q_i)V_i = (\Gamma_2)Q_i$. So $(\Gamma_1)Q_i = A_{V_i}$, $(\Gamma_2)Q_i = B_{V_i}$. This establishes the equivalence of the different formulations of the theorem.

This theorem is one of the motivations to compute braid monodromy of cuspidal curves. Since the branch curve of a stable generic projection is cuspidal, we can use this theorem to compute $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ whenever φ_u can be computed explicitly.

The next theorem is the projective Van Kampen theorem to be used in computations of $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - \overline{S}, *)$.

Theorem 1.4 (Projective Van Kampen Theorem). *Let $\overline{S}$ be a cuspidal curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, transversal to the line in infinity. Let $S = \overline{S} \cap \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_p$ be a g -base for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_u - S, u_0)$. Then $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - \overline{S}, *) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *) \Big/ \langle \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma_j \rangle$.*

In [MoTe5] we proved that for a g -base $\{\delta_i\}$ of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ we have $\Delta_p^2 = \prod \varphi_u(\delta_i)$ where φ_u is the braid monodromy w.r.t. S, π, u and Δ_p^2 is the generator of $B_p[\mathbb{C}_u, \mathbb{C}_u \cap S]$. Moreover, we proved there that the set of such product-forms of Δ_p^2 form a complete class under Hurwitz equivalence of factorized expressions. Moreover, from all these equivalent factorizations we try to choose the product form which will be most useful for fundamental group calculations. So to calculate the braid monodromy is equivalent to finding certain factorizations of Δ_p^2 .

Invariance properties are results in which we prove that the braid monodromy factorization of Δ_{2p}^2 is invariant under certain elements of B_{2p} . We look for elements of B_{2p} that will give us equivalent factorizations of Δ_p^2 . Establishing invariant properties is essential in order to simplify the calculations which follow from the Van Kampen Theorem.

For defining invariance properties we need the following definitions:

Definition. Hurwitz move

Let $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$ be two factorized expressions of the same element in a group G . We say that $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ is obtained from $h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$ by a Hurwitz move if $\exists 1 \leq p \leq k-1$ s.t. $g_i = h_i, i \neq p, p+1, g_p = h_p h_{p+1} h_p^{-1}$ and $g_{p+1} = h_p$ or $g_p = h_{p+1}$ and $g_{p+1} = h_{p+1}^{-1} h_p h_{p+1}$.

Definition. Hurwitz equivalence of factorized expressions

Let $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$ be two factorized expressions of the same element in a group G . We say that $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ is a Hurwitz equivalent to $h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$ if $h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$ is obtained from $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ by a finite number of Hurwitz moves. We denote it by $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k \underset{\text{He}}{\simeq} h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_k$.

Definition. A factorized expression invariant under h

Let $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ be a factorized expression in $G, h \in G$. We say that $g = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ is invariant under h if $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $(g_1)_h \cdot \dots \cdot (g_k)_h$, where $(g_i)_h = h^{-1} g_i h$.

Invariance properties are important in view of the following lemma ([MoTe5]):

Lemma 1.5. *If a braid monodromy factorization $\Delta_p^2 = \prod Z_i$ is invariant under h then the equivalent factorization $\Delta_p^2 = \prod (Z_i)_h$ is also a braid monodromy factorization.*

Since every factor of a braid monodromy factorization induces a relation on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$ by proving invariant properties we get more information on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$, (each $(Z_i)_h$ induces a new relation) and thus it is an essential addition to the Van Kampen theorem. In the next theorem we shall explain how to get new relations from invariance properties.

Theorem 1.6. *Let S, u, ρ_u, B_p be as above. Let $\Delta_p = \prod Z_i$ be a braid monodromy factorization of Δ_p^2 w.r.t. φ_u . If a subfactorization $\prod_{i=s}^r Z_i$ is invariant under h , and $\prod_{i=s}^r Z_i$ induces a relation $\Gamma_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \Gamma_{i_t}$ on G via the Van Kampen method then $(\Gamma_{i_1})_n \cdot \dots \cdot (\Gamma_{i_t})_n$ is also a relation. If $\prod Z_i$ is invariant under h , and if $R = \Gamma_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \Gamma_{i_t}$ is a relation on $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$, then $(\Gamma_{i_1})_h \cdot \dots \cdot (\Gamma_{i_t})_h$ is also a relation.*

Proof.

Any relation on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u_0)$ is a product of the relations induced by $\prod Z_i$, via the Van Kampen method. Thus, it is enough to consider relations induced from the braid monodromy. Assume that V^ν is a factor in $\prod_{i=2}^k Z_i$. Assume that the relation induced by it is:

$$\begin{aligned} A_V &= B_V & \nu &= 1 \\ [A_V, B_V] &= 1 & \nu &= 2 \\ \langle A_V, B_V \rangle &= 1 & \nu &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{i=s}^r Z_i$ is invariant under h , $\prod_{i=s}^r (Z_i)_h$ is also part of a braid monodromy factorization. In $\prod_{i=s}^r (Z_i)_h$ we have a factor of the form $(V^\nu)_h$. Since $(V^\nu)_h = (V_h)^\nu$, $(V^\nu)_h$ induces the following relation on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{V_h} &= B_{V_h} & \nu &= 1 \\ [A_{V_h}, B_{V_h}] &= 1 & \nu &= 2 \\ \langle A_{V_h}, B_{V_h} \rangle &= 1 & \nu &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{V_h} = (A_V)_h$, $B_{V_h} = (B_V)_h$, $(V^\nu)_h$ induces the following relation on $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_V)_h &= (B_V)_h & \nu &= 1 \\ [(A_V)_h, (B_V)_h] &= 1 & \nu &= 2 \\ \langle (A_V)_h, (B_V)_h \rangle &= 1 & \nu &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if V^ν induces the relation $\Gamma_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \Gamma_{i_t} = 1$ then $(V^\nu)_h$ induces the relation $(\Gamma_{i_1})_h \cdot \dots \cdot (\Gamma_{i_t})_h = 1$. $\square$

2. The topology of affine complements of Veronese branch curves.

Let S_{V_3} be the branch curve of a generic projection $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ defined in Section 2. For short we shall denote S_{V_3} by S in the sequel.

In [MoTe7] we proved a result concerning $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S, *)$. In this section, we shall quote this result and prove further results concerning G , presenting it as an “almost” solvable group.

Let B_n be the braid group, as in Section 1. In order to formulate these results we need a few definitions.

Definition. Transversal half-twists

The half-twists $H(\sigma_1)$ and $H(\sigma_2)$ will be called *transversal* if C_1 and C_2 intersect transversally in one point which is not an end point of either of the σ_i 's.

Definition. $\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{X}_i$

Let T_n be the subgroup of B_n normally generated by $[X, Y]$ for X, Y transversal half-twists. $\tilde{B}_n$ is the quotient of B_n modulo T_n . We choose a frame X_i of B_n . We denote their images in $\tilde{B}_n$ by $\tilde{X}_i$.

We shall use a slightly different presentation for $\tilde{B}_9$ than the one induced from the standard Artin presentation:

$$\tilde{B}_9 = \langle \tilde{T}_1, \dots, \tilde{T}_9, i \neq 4 \rangle$$

with the following complete list of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j] &= 1 & t_i, t_j \text{ disjoint} \\ \langle \tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j \rangle &= 1 & \text{otherwise} \\ [\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2^{-1} \tilde{T}_3 \tilde{T}_2] &= 1 \\ [\tilde{T}_5, \tilde{T}_8 \tilde{T}_9 \tilde{T}_8] &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

where T_i is a half-twist corresponding to a path t_i and the t_i are arranged in the following configuration:

Proposition-Definition 2.0. $G_0(n), \tau, u_1$

Let A_{n-1} be the free abelian group on $w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}$. Let us define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ skew-symmetric form on A_{n-1} as follows:

$$w_i \cdot w_j = \begin{cases} 1 & |i - j| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There exists a unique central extension $G_0(n)$, of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ by A_{n-1} , with generators $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{b} G_0(n) \xrightarrow{a} A_{n-1} \rightarrow 1 \\ a(u_i) &= w_i \\ [u_i, u_j] &= b(w_i \cdot w_j) = \begin{cases} \tau & |i - j| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We always consider $G_0(n)$ with the standard $\tilde{B}_n$ -action as follows:

$$(u_i)_{\tilde{X}_k} = \begin{cases} u_i^{-1}\tau & k = i \\ u_k u_i & |i - k| = 1 \\ u_i & |i - k| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Claim 2.1. $Ab(G_0(n)) = A_{n-1}$ (free abelian group on $n-1$ generators), $G_0(n)' = \{\tau, 1\} (\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Proof. Claim III.6.4 of [MoTe9]. $\square$

Consider the semidirect product, $\tilde{B}_9 \ltimes G_0(9)$, with respect to the standard $\tilde{B}_9$ action on $G_0(9)$.

We will work with a more concrete presentation of $G_0(9)$ that will be compatible with the chosen presentation of $\tilde{B}_9$:

Let $G_0(9)$ be the group generated by g_i , $i = 1, \dots, 9$, $i \neq 4$, with the following list of relations.

$$\begin{aligned} [g_1, g_2]^2 &= 1 \\ [g_1, g_2] &\in \text{Center}(G_0(9)) \\ [g_i, g_j] &= \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_j \text{ are disjoint} \\ [g_1, g_2] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\nu = [g_1, g_2]$. Let us reformulate the relations of $G_0(9)$ as follows:

$$G_0(9) = \langle g_1, \dots, g_4, \dots, g_9 \mid [g_i, g_j] = \begin{cases} 1 & T_i, T_j \text{ are disjoint} \\ \tau & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \tau^2 = 1 \quad \tau_{g_i} = \tau \rangle$$

Definition. $v_1, N_9, G_9, \tilde{\psi}_9 : G_9 \rightarrow S_9$

$v_1 = (\tilde{X}_2 \tilde{X}_1 \tilde{X}_2^{-1})^2 \tilde{X}_2^{-2}$ for a frame $X_1, \dots, X_8$ of B_9 .

N_9 = The subgroup normally generated by $c\tau^{-1}$, $(u_1 v_1^{-1})^3$ (τ an element of $G_0(9)$, (see above) and c an element of $\tilde{B}_9$ (see above).

$G_9 = \tilde{B}_9 \ltimes G_0(9)/N_9$

$\tilde{\psi}_9 : G_9 \rightarrow S_9$, defined by $\tilde{\psi}_9(\alpha, \beta) = \tilde{\psi}_9(\alpha)$, where $\tilde{\psi}_9 : \tilde{B}_9 \rightarrow S_9$ is the homomorphism to the symmetric group, induced from the standard homomorphism $B_9 \xrightarrow{\psi_9} S_9$.

Proposition 2.2. Let V_3 be the Veronese surface of order 3. Let S_3 be the branch curve of a generic projection $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $\mathbb{C}^2$ be a big “affine piece” of $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $S = S_3 \cap \mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$. Then $G \cong G_9$ s.t. $\psi : G \rightarrow S_9$ is compatible with $\tilde{\psi}_9 : G_9 \rightarrow S_9$.

Proof. Theorem 6.1 of [MoTe9].

Let ψ_n be the standard homomorphisms $B_n \xrightarrow{\psi_n} S_n$ (= symmetric group). Let Ab be the standard homomorphism $B_n \xrightarrow{Ab} \mathbb{Z} = Ab(\tilde{B}_n) = \tilde{B}_n/\tilde{B}_n'$. Since $\psi_n([X, Y]) = 1$, and $Ab([X, Y]) = 1$, ψ_n and Ab induce homomorphisms on $\tilde{B}_n$.

Definition. $\tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{P}_n, \tilde{P}_{n,0}, c$

$\tilde{\psi}_n : \tilde{B}_n \rightarrow S_n$, the induced homomorphism from ψ_n .

$\tilde{Ab} : \tilde{B}_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{Ab}} \mathbb{Z}$, the induced homomorphism from $B_n \xrightarrow{Ab} \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_n &= \ker \tilde{\psi}_n. \\
\tilde{P}_{n,0} &= \ker \tilde{\psi}_n \cap \ker \widetilde{Ab} = \ker \tilde{P}_n \rightarrow Ab(\tilde{B}_n) = \mathbb{Z}. \\
c &= [\tilde{X}_1^2, \tilde{X}_2^2] \quad \text{for 2 consecutive half-twists.}
\end{aligned}$$

For the proof of the main result (Proposition 2.4) we need Proposition 2.3 concerning quotients of the braid group. For a subgroup H denote by H' the commutator subgroup of H .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\tilde{X}_i$ be a frame in B_n . Let $c = [\tilde{X}_1^2, \tilde{X}_2^2]$. Then*

$$c = [\tilde{X}_1^2, \tilde{X}_2^2] = [\tilde{X}_1^2, \tilde{X}_{i+1}^2] = \cdots = [\tilde{X}_{n-2}^2, \tilde{X}_{n-1}^2].$$

Moreover, $(\tilde{P}_n)' = (\tilde{P}_{n,0})' = \{1, c\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

$Ab(\tilde{P}_n)$ is free abelian group on n generators; $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \tilde{X}_1^2$, where $\xi_1 = (\tilde{X}_2 \tilde{X}_1 \tilde{X}_2^{-1})^2 \tilde{X}_2^{-2}$ and ξ_i is conjugate to ξ_1 , $\xi_i \in \tilde{P}21_{n,0}$.

$\tilde{B}_n$ acts on $\tilde{P}_{n,0}$ by conjugation.

$\tilde{P}_{n,0}$ with this action is isomorphic to $G_0(n)$ with the standard $\tilde{B}_n$ -action as defined previously.

There exists a series: $1 \subseteq (\tilde{P}_{n,0})' \subseteq \tilde{P}_{n,0} \subseteq \tilde{P}_n \subset \tilde{B}_n$ s.t. $\tilde{B}_n/\tilde{P}_n = S_n$, $\tilde{P}_n/\tilde{P}_{n,0} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{P}_{n,0}/(\tilde{P}_{n,0})' \simeq A_{n-1} \simeq Ab(G_0(n))$, $(\tilde{P}_{n,0})' \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. Theorem III.6.4 of [MoTe9]. See [MoTe5], Chapters 4, 5 for more information about $\tilde{P}_n$ and $\tilde{P}_{n,0}$. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper. It is a structure theorem for $G = G_9$, which states that G_9 is an almost solvable group. Using this result, one can start to compute π_2 as a model over π_1 .

Definition. $\underline{Ab_9, H_9, H_{9,0}}$

Ab_9 = Abelianization map of G_9 .

$H_9 = \ker \psi_9$

$H_{9,0} = \ker \tilde{\psi}_9 \cap \ker Ab_9$

Proposition 2.4. *There exists a series $1 \subseteq H'_{9,0} \subset H_{9,0} \subset H_9 \subset G_9$, where $G_9/H_9 \simeq S_9$, $H_9/H_{9,0} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{9,0}/H'_{9,0} \simeq (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}/3)^8$, $H'_{9,0} = H'_9 = \{1, c\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, where $c \in \text{Center}(G_9)$. Moreover, $Ab(G_9) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{T}_i$ $i = 1 \dots 9$ $i \neq 4$ be the base of $\tilde{B}_9$ as above. Let g_i , $i = 1, \dots, 9$, $i \neq 4$, be the chosen base for $G_0(9)$.

Let

$$c = [\tilde{T}_1^2, \tilde{T}_2^2]$$

$$\tau = [g_1, g_2].$$

$\tilde{B}_9$ acts on $G_0(9)$ as follows:

$$(g_i)_{T_k} = \begin{cases} g_i & i, k \text{ disjoint} \\ g_i^{-1} \tau & i = k \\ g_i g_k^{-1} \text{ or } g_k g_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that we have the following relations in $\tilde{B}_9 \ltimes G_0(9)$

$$[\tilde{T}_i^2, \tilde{T}_j^2] = \begin{cases} 1 & i, j \text{ disjoint or } i = j \\ c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[g_i, g_j] = \begin{cases} 1 & i, j \text{ disjoint or } i = j \\ \tau & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_j \tau &\in \text{Center } \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \\ G_0(9)' &= \{1, \tau\} \\ c^2 = \tau^2 &= 1 \\ c &\neq \tau. \end{aligned}$$

We recall from the proof of Proposition 2.3 (which appears in [MoTe9]) that $\tilde{P}_{9,0}$ is generated by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_9$ $i \neq 4$, $\tilde{P}_9$ is generated by $\tilde{P}_{9,0}$ and $\tilde{T}_1^2$, $\tilde{P}'_9 = \tilde{P}'_{9,0} = \{1, c\}$, where

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j] = \begin{cases} 1 & i, j \text{ disjoint, } i = j \\ c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

($\xi_1 = (\tilde{T}_2 \tilde{T}_1 \tilde{T}_2^{-1})^2 \tilde{T}_2^{-2}$ and ξ_i is conjugate to ξ_1).

Let $\zeta_i = g_i \xi_i^{-1}$.

Since $\tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2$ can be extended to a frame of $\tilde{B}_9$, ξ_1 can be considered as v_1 in the above definition. From the above commutators one can see that ζ_i^3 is conjugated to ζ_1^3 , up to multiplication by $c\tau$. Thus N_9 can be represented as

$$N_9 = \langle c\tau, \zeta_i^3 \mid i = 1 \dots 9, i \neq 4 \rangle.$$

To prove the theorem we consider first another quotient of $\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)$:

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_9 &= \langle c\tau \rangle \\ \hat{G}_9 &= \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) / C_9. \end{aligned}$$

There exist natural epimorphisms $\hat{I}, \hat{J}$.

$$\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \xrightarrow{\hat{I}} \hat{G}_9, \quad \hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} G_9,$$

s.t. $\hat{I}, \hat{J}$ in the natural epimorphism $\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \rightarrow \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) / N_9 = G_9$. Let

$$M_9 = \langle \zeta_i^3 \mid i = 1, \dots, 9 \ i \neq 4 \rangle.$$

Let $\hat{M}_9$ be the image under $\hat{I}$ of M_9 in $\hat{G}_9$.

Remark. By abuse of notation we use the same notation for elements of $\tilde{B}_9, G_0(9)$ and their images in $\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)$ and its different quotients.

We divide the proof into 11 claims and corollaries.

Claim 1.

- (a) $\ker \hat{I} = C_9 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.
- (b) $\hat{I}(N_9) = \hat{M}_9, \quad N_9 / C_9 \simeq \hat{M}_9$.
- (c) $\hat{M}_9$ is a normal commutative subgroup of $\hat{G}_9$.
- (d) The induced homomorphism $G_9 = \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) / N_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{I}} \hat{G}_9 / \hat{M}_9$ is an isomorphism.

- (e) $\hat{M}_9 = \ker(\hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} G_9)$, $\hat{G}_9/\hat{M}_9 = G_9$.
(f) There exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{M}_9 \rightarrow \hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} G_9 \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof.

(a) Clearly $C_9 = \ker \hat{I}$. Since $c, \tau \in \text{Center } \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)$ and $c^2 = \tau^2 = 1$ then $(c\tau)^2 = 1$ since $c \neq \tau$, $C_9 = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

(b) $N_9 = \langle M_9, C_9 \rangle \Rightarrow I(N_9) = \langle \hat{I}(N_9), \hat{I}(C_9) \rangle = \langle \hat{M}_9, 1 \rangle = \hat{M}_9$. Since $\ker \hat{I} = C_9 \subseteq N_9$, $\ker(\hat{I}|_{N_9}) = C_9$. Thus $N_9/C_9 = \hat{M}_9$.

(c) Consider $\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)$. By Lemma IV.6.1 of [MoTe9]:

$$[\tilde{T}_j^{\pm 2}, g_i^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_j \text{ disjoint, } i = j \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Claim II.4(e) of [MoTe9]

$$[T_j^{-2} T_k^2, g_i^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_k \text{ disjoint} \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Corollary IV.4.2 for every ξ_ℓ and every i , s.t. $t_i \cap t_\ell \neq \emptyset \exists k$ s.t. $t_k \cap t_i \neq \emptyset$ and $\xi_\ell = T_j^{\pm 2} T_k^{\pm 2}$. Thus

$$[\xi_\ell^{\pm 1}, g_i^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & i, \ell \text{ disjoint} \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$[g_\ell^\pm, g_i^\pm] = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_\ell \text{ disjoint} \\ \tau & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since c, τ are central elements and $\zeta_\ell = g_\ell \xi_\ell^{-1}$, the last 2 equations imply:

$$[\zeta_\ell^{\pm 1}, g_i^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_\ell \text{ disjoint} \\ c\tau & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So

$$[\zeta_\ell^{\pm 3}, g_i^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 1 & t_i, t_\ell \text{ disjoint} \\ c\tau & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, ζ_ℓ^3 and g_i commute in $\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)/C_9$. The same arguments imply that ζ_ℓ^3 and ξ_i commute. Thus, ζ_ℓ^3 commutes with ζ_i , and therefore with ζ_i^3 . Thus, $\hat{M}_9$ is commutative

(d) $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \rightarrow \hat{G}_9) = C_9$. Thus $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \rightarrow \hat{G}_9/\hat{M}_9) = \langle C_9, M_9 \rangle = N_9$. By the isomorphism theorem we get (d).

(e) $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9) \rightarrow \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)/N_9) = N_9$. Thus $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)/C_9 \rightarrow \tilde{B}_9 \times G_0(9)/N_9) = N_9/C_9$ which equals $\hat{M}_9$.

(f) From (e). $\square$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9) & \xrightarrow{\hat{I}} & \hat{G}_9 & \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} & \hat{G}_9 \\
\cup & & \cup & & \\
N_9 & \rightarrow & M_9 & \rightarrow & 1 \\
\tilde{B} \rtimes G_0(9)/N_9 & \xrightarrow{\hat{I}} & \hat{G}_9/\hat{M}_9 & \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} & G_9
\end{array}$$

Claim 2.

$$Ab(G_9) = Ab(\hat{G}_9) = Ab(\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)) = Ab(\tilde{B}_9) = Ab(B_9) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Recall that conjugate elements are equal under abelization ($Ab(G) = G/G'$). Since B_n is generated by half-twists and they are all conjugate to each other, $Ab(B_n)$ is generated by one element, whose infinite order remains valid under abelization. So $Ab(B_9) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\tilde{B}_9 = B_9/\text{subgroup of } B'_9$, $Ab(\tilde{B}_9)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}$. From the action of $\tilde{B}_9$ on $G_0(9)$ one can see that for every i , $i \leq 9$, $i \neq 4$, $\exists k$, $i \neq k$, s.t. $(g_i)_{\tilde{T}_k} = g_i g_k^{\pm 1}$. Under abelization this relation becomes $g_i = g_i g_k^{\pm}$. Therefore, $g_k^{\pm 1} = 1$. So in the abelization of $\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)$, the elements of $G_0(9)$ are 1. Thus $Ab(\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $C_9 \subseteq (\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9))'$, $Ab(\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}$. So $Ab(\hat{G}_9) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since M_9 consists of degree 0 elements, $Ab(\hat{G}_9/\hat{M}_9) = \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $Ab(G_9) = \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Let $\hat{H}_9 : \ker \hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}_9} S_9$ (= the symmetric group on 9 elements).

Claim 3.

- (a) $\hat{H}_9 \simeq \tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9$.
- (b) There exists an epimorphism $\hat{H}_9 \rightarrow G_0(18)$ which is compatible with $Ab(\hat{H}_9) \rightarrow A_{17}$ ($A_{17} = Ab G_0(18)$).
- (c) $Ab(\hat{H}_9)$ is freely generated by $\xi_1 \dots \xi_9$ $i \neq 4$, $g_1 \dots g_9$ $i \neq 4$, $\tilde{T}_1^2$.
- (d) $M_9 \subset \text{Center } \hat{H}_9$.
- (e) $H_9 \simeq \tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/N_9$.
- (f) $\hat{H}_9$ maps onto H_9 under $\hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{J}} G_9$.
- (g) $\hat{H}_9/\hat{M}_9 \simeq H_9$.

Proof.

(a) $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \rightarrow S_9) = \tilde{P}_9$, and $\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9) \rightarrow S_9$ factors through $\tilde{B}_9$. So $\ker(\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9) \rightarrow S_9)$ is $\tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)$. So $\hat{H}_9 = \ker(\tilde{B}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9 \rightarrow S_9) = \tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9$.

(b) (c) We shall skip the precise proof of (b) and (c). The idea is to derive the presentation of $\hat{H}_9$ from a presentation of $\tilde{P}_9$, (Proposition 2.3) and Proposition-Definition 2.0.

(d) The relevant relations are to be found in the proof of Claim 3(c).

(e) Similar to (a).

(f) (g) $\hat{G}_9 \xrightarrow{\hat{\psi}_9} S_9$ factors through $G_9 \xrightarrow{\psi_9} S_9$, i.e., $\hat{G}_9 \rightarrow G_9 \xrightarrow{\psi_9} S_9$. So $\ker \hat{\psi}_9$ is mapped into $\ker \psi_9$. We can see it differently using (a) and (e): Since $C_9 \subset N_9$, there exists a natural epimorphism (restriction of $\hat{J}$)

$$\tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9 \rightarrow \tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/N_9.$$

By (a) and (c) this map is actually

$$\hat{H}_9 \twoheadrightarrow H_9.$$

The kernel of this map is N_9/C_9 , which is $\hat{M}_9$ by Claim 1(b). $\square$

Claim 4.

- (a) $\hat{M}_9$ is isomorphic to its image in $Ab(\hat{H}_9)$.
- (b) $\hat{M}_9 \cap \hat{H}'_9 = \{1\}$.

Proof. By Claim 3 (c), $Ab(\hat{H}_9)$ is freely generated by 17 elements $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_9, i \neq 4, g_1, \dots, g_9, i \neq 4, \tilde{T}_1^2$, regarded as elements of the abelization.

M_9 is generated by $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_9, i \neq 4$ and $\zeta_i = \xi_i g_i^{-1}$. The image of $\hat{M}_9$ in the abelization is generated by $\{g_i \xi_i^{-1}\}_{i=1, i \neq 4}^9$ which are 8 products of different elements of a free base. Thus $M \simeq \text{Image of } M \text{ in } Ab(\hat{H}_9)$. Thus $\ker Ab_{\hat{H}_9} \upharpoonright_{\hat{M}_9} = 1$. But this kernel is $\hat{M}_9 \cap \hat{H}'_9$. $\square$

Claim 5. $\hat{H}'_9 \simeq H'_9$.

Proof. Consider $\hat{G}_9 \twoheadrightarrow G_9$ with $\ker \hat{M}_9$. Under this map $\hat{H}_9 \rightarrow H_9$ (Claim 3(f)) and $\hat{H}'_9 \rightarrow H'_9$. Evidently, $\ker \hat{H}'_9 \rightarrow H'_9$ is $\hat{H}'_9 \cap \hat{M}_9$ which is $\{1\}$ by the previous claim. $\square$

Claim 6. $(\hat{H}'_9) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. By Claim 3(a), $\hat{H}_9 = \tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9)/C_9$. $\tilde{P}'_9 = \{1, c\}$, $(G_0(9))' = \{1, \tau\}$. Thus $(\tilde{P}_9 \rtimes G_0(9))' = \{1, c, \tau\}$. When dividing by $c = \tau$ ($C_9 = \langle c\tau \rangle$) we get $(\hat{H}_9)' = \{1, \tau\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2$. We have to prove that it does not collapse completely. We have:

$$1 \rightarrow (\hat{H}_9)' \rightarrow \hat{H}_9 \rightarrow Ab(\hat{H}_9) \rightarrow 1.$$

Consider the short exact sequence from Proposition-Definition 2.0:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow G_0(18) \rightarrow A_{17} \rightarrow 1.$$

By Claim 3(c) we have $Ab(\hat{H}_9) \simeq A_{17}$. By Claim 3(b) there exists $\hat{H}_9 \twoheadrightarrow G_0(18)$. Thus there exists $(\hat{H}_9)' \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. So $\hat{H}'_9 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$. $\square$

Corollary 7.

$$H'_9 = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Similar to Claims 3, 5, 6, we have:

Claim 8.

- (a) $Ab(\hat{H}_{9,0})$ is freely generated by $g_1, \dots, g_9, i \neq 4, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_9, i \neq 4$.
- (b) $\hat{H}_{9,0}/\hat{M}_9 \simeq H_{9,0}$.
- (c) $(\hat{H}_{9,0})' = H'_{9,0}$.
- (d) $(\hat{H}_{9,0})' = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Corollary 9.

$$H'_{9,0} = H'_9 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Claim 10.

$$H_{9,0}/H'_{9,0} = (\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z})^8.$$

Proof. By Claim 8(c), $\hat{H}_{9,0}/\hat{M}_9 \simeq H_{9,0}$. Thus $H_{9,0}/H'_{9,0} = Ab(H_{9,0}) = Ab(\hat{H}_{9,0}/\hat{M}_9)$. Since $\hat{M}_9$ is isomorphic to its image in $Ab(\hat{H}_9)$ (Claim 4(a)), we get $Ab(\hat{H}_{9,0})/\hat{M}_9$. We can take $\{g_i, \zeta_i\}_{i=1}^9$ as free generators for $Ab(\hat{H}_{9,0})$. Thus

$$Ab(\hat{H}_{9,0})/\hat{M}_9 = \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4}}^9 (g_i) \oplus \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4}}^9 \langle \zeta_i \rangle \right) / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4}}^9 \langle \zeta_i^3 \rangle = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4}}^9 \langle g_i \rangle \oplus \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 4}}^9 (\langle \zeta_i \rangle / \langle \zeta_i^3 \rangle)$$

$$\simeq (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)^8. \quad \square$$

Corollary 11.

$$H_9/H_{9,0} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. $H_{9,0} = \ker(H_9 \rightarrow Ab(\hat{G}_9))$. Since $Ab \hat{G}_9 \simeq Ab H_9 = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $H_{9,0} = \ker(H_9 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

The proof of the different statements of the theorem are Claims and Corollaries 11, 10, 9, 2, and the definition of H_9 for $G_9/H_9 \simeq S_9$. $\square$ for Theorem 2.4

3. The fundamental group of complements of a Veronese branch curve in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V_3 be the Veronese surface of order 3. Let $\bar{S}$ be the branch curve of a generic projection $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $\bar{G} = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - \bar{S})$. Then there exist $w_0 \in H_{9,0}$ s.t. $\bar{G} \simeq \bar{G}_9 = G_9/\langle X_1^{18}w_0 \rangle$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\bar{H}_9$ and $\bar{H}_{9,0}$ be the images of $H_{9,0}$ and H_q in $\bar{G}_9$. Then $\bar{H}'_{9,0} = \bar{H}'_q$ and*

$$1 \subseteq \bar{H}'_{9,0} \subseteq \bar{H}_{9,0} \subseteq \bar{H}_q \subseteq \bar{G}_9$$

where

$$\bar{G}_9/\bar{H}_9 \simeq S_9, \quad \bar{H}_9/\bar{H}_{9,0} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_9, \quad \bar{H}_{9,0}/\bar{H}'_{9,0} \simeq (\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}/3)^8, \quad \bar{H}'_{9,0} = \bar{H}'_9 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

REFERENCES

- [A1] Artin, E., *On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 101-102.
- [A2] ———, *Theory of braids*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 102-126.
- [B] Birman, J., *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups*, Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [C1] Catanese, F., *On the moduli spaces of surfaces of general type*, J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1984), no. 2, 483-515.
- [C2] ———, *Connected components of moduli spaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), 395-399.
- [FH] J.M. Feustel and R.P. Holzapfel, *Symmetry points and Chern invariants of Picard modular surfaces*, Math. Nach. **111** (1983), 7-40.
- [FMoM] Freidman, B., Moishezon, B. and Morgan, J., *On the C^∞ -invariance of the canonical classes of certain algebraic surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17** (1987), 283-286.
- [Ma] Magnus, W., Karras, A., and Solitar, D., *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience Publishers, 1966.

- [Mi] Miyaoka, Y., *Algebraic surfaces with positive indices*, Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds, Birkhäuser, 1982.
- [Mo1] Moishezon, B., *Stable branch curves and braid monodromies*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 862, 1981, pp. 107-192.
- [Mo2] ———, Moishezon, B., *Algebraic surfaces and the arithmetic of braids, I*, Arithmetic and Geometry, papers dedicated to I.R. Shafarevich, Birkhäuser, 1983, pp. 199-269.
- [Mo3] ———, *Algebraic surfaces and the arithmetic of braids, II*, Contemp. Math. **44** (1985), 311-344.
- [Mo4] ———, *Analogues of Lefschetz theorems for linear systems with isolated singularity*, J. of Differential Geom. **31** (1990), 47-72.
- [Mo5] ———, *On cuspidal branch curves*, J. of Alg. Geom. (to appear).
- [MoTe1] Moishezon, B., Teicher, M., *Existence of simply connected algebraic surfaces of positive and zero indices*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, United States of America **83** (1986), 6665-6666.
- [MoTe2] ———, *Simply connected algebraic surfaces of positive index*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), 601-643.
- [MoTe3] ———, *Galois coverings in the theory of algebraic surfaces*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. **46** (1987), 47-65.
- [MoTe4] ———, *Finite fundamental groups, free over $\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z}$, for Galois covers of $\mathbb{CP}^2$* , Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 749-766.
- [MoTe5] ———, *Braid group techniques in complex geometry, I: Complex line arrangement in $\mathbb{CP}^2$* , Contemporary Math. **78** (1988), 425-556.
- [MoTe6] ———, *Braid group techniques in complex geometry, II: From arrangements of lines and conics to cuspidal curves*, Algebraic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1479, 1990.
- [MoTe7] ———, *Braid group techniques in complex geometry, III: Projective degeneration of V_3* , Contemporary Math. **162** (1994), 313-332.
- [MoTe8] ———, *Braid group techniques in complex geometry, IV: Braid monodromy of the branch curve S_3 of $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ and application to $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 - S_3, *)$* **162** (1994), 333-358.
- [MoTe9] ———, *Braid group techniques in complex geometry, V: The fundamental group of complements of a branch curve of Veronese generic projection*, Communications in Analysis and Geometry (to appear).
- [MoTe10] ———, *Braid Groups, Singularities and Algebraic Surfaces*, Academic Press (to appear).
- [P] Persson, U., *Chern invariants of surfaces of general type*, Comp. Math. **43** (1981), 3-58.
- [Te] Teicher, M., *On toroidal embeddings of 3-folds*, Israel J. of Math. **57** (1987), no. 1, 49-67.
- [VK] Van Kampen, E.R., *On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve*, Am. J. Math. **55** (1933), 255-260.
- [Z] Zariski, O., *Algebraic Surfaces (Ch. VIII)*, Second Edition, Springer, 1971.